

2018 Crown Court application 2v3

To, the clerk to the court, Chester Crown Court.

1. Please copy this to the Judge and the CPS in the case of Regina V Tom Dobbie listed for 26th June 2018.
2. I am a litigant in person, and no administrative documents whatsoever have been sent to or seen by the defendant.
3. This is the skeleton argument for the application by the defendant for a recording of abuse of process in this case.

Case Management

1. I am applying for the charges to be quashed based on multiple irregularities that follow the descriptions of abuse of process as stated in CPR and stated within CPS rules and procedures. The layout here, contains the essential contents of reporting as per CPS requirements.

1.01. All of this, while noting that it is acknowledged in Attorney General v. Barker (2000) 1 FLR 759, that there is no definition, (there was not then and still is not now), of exactly what constitutes an abuse of the court's process. It is not defined in any rule or practice direction. It has been explained within the Civil Procedure Rules as "using that process for a purpose or in a way significantly different from its ordinary and proper use."

Given that it is not the proper use of the courts to have allowed and assisted in the crimes and abuses against Dobbie, his children and the Crown, both previously and now, then there is clear abuse of process on an extraordinary scale that demands a significant response in order to be proportional.

1.1. I am inherently reporting crimes in the actions of reporting the abuses of process. Many of these crimes have been enabled, caused, propagated and carried out by Cheshire police and Chester CPS.

1.2. These matters are of necessity being reported to the Attorney General, the Home Secretary, the Lord Chancellor, the Lord Chief Justice, the Director of CPS due to the interference of Cheshire Police and Chester CPS acting criminally in order to stop these crimes being reported and dealt with lawfully.

1.3. The second arrest of Tom Dobbie in April 2018 by Cheshire police. Was because Tom Dobbie was communicating more details to the Attorney General showing the interference to the course of justice by Cheshire police and CPS. So, Cheshire Police acted to yet again to stop the exposure of their crimes.

1.4. In both arrests and interrogations (these were interrogations more than interviews. Listen to the interview, the police woman was very nasty in her behaviour and attitude to the defendant) , the wrong CBO document was used in the arrest and interrogation.

1.5. In both arrests and interrogations, there was blatant non disclosure of the evidence of child abuses that was evidenced in the emails.

1.6. In both arrests and interrogations, the witness evidence by Graham Dean was not disclosed, even though it was part of the Cheshire police evidence. He is a witness who Cheshire Police previously deemed his evidence so serious, that they interviewed him on video. Currently there are complaints against Cheshire Police as to why the interview and video evidence by Graham Dean has been covered up and vanished.

1.7. Cheshire police burgled Tom Dobbie's flat and stole computers. This was done in order to hide evidence against Cheshire police crimes. There are two crime numbers for this burglary. Cheshire police have been investigating themselves in this burglary for over a year.

1.8. Cheshire police are in contempt of court from the Crown Court case in 2017. They were ordered by the judge to return computers to assist Tom Dobbie remove some web content. The computer equipment stolen by Cheshire police

has still not been returned.

1.9. See diagram '[Non Disclosures History 1](#)' for a summary overview of unlawful non disclosures.

Case

Skeleton argument for abuse of process.

(As defined by the Attorney General : "using that process for a purpose or in a way significantly different from its ordinary and proper use" : Attorney General v Barker [2000] 1 F.L.R. 759).

2. Extensive history of multiple non disclosures by Cheshire police and CPS.

2.1. This case is a result of the cascading historical non disclosures.

2.2. The unconscionable behaviour by the executive in multiple acts involving torture and many other breaches of human rights.

2.3. The unconscionable behaviour by the executive in allowing cascading crimes against the Dobbie children and their dad.

On the basis that the case were to continue.

3. Neither CP, or CPS have not been able to demonstrate that there was no reasonable cause, yet make the charge, that there was no reasonable cause.

They cannot prove their charge - case dismissed.

3.1. Additionally, it would have been reasonable cause to communicate with the Attorney General based on:-

3.2. all of the reasons stated above in 1 through 1.8.

3.3. all of the reasons stated in the summary history of non disclosures.

3.4. all of the crimes described in summary that have been carried out and criminally hidden by Cheshire police and CPS.

- including - child rape, child sexual abuses, child battering, torture, assaults, harassment, theft, destruction of property.

Witnesses

Now moved to [Witnesses Page](#) (to stop ambiguity and errors)

Non Disclosures Summary

Now moved to [Non Disclosures](#) page (to stop ambiguity and errors)

End of page ' **2018 Crown Court application** '.