

2018 Crown Court application 4v1

Case Management

To, the clerk to the court, Chester Crown Court.

CM.0. Before proceeding further, please ensure that you have read the 'home page' detailing the broad administration matters and the necessity of recusing judges and necessity of involving the Attorney General, The Lord Chief Justice, The Lord Chancellor, The head of FSO.

CM.1. Please copy this to the Judge and the CPS in the case of Regina V Tom Dobbie first heard on 26th June 2018.

CM.2. This skeleton argument and its layout is the application and the essential contents of reporting as per CPR and [CPS requirements](#).

CM.3. I am a litigant in person, and the court has not acknowledged receipt by email or hardcopy of my submissions. The judge has asked CPS if they could arrange for me to be given a user account to be on the courts electronic forms system. The CPS man gave me a telephone number knowing I have no outgoing telephone. I asked for an email address to progress this, and was told that was not possible. Having walked 3/4 of a mile a few times to use a public telephone box with all the windows broken and next to a busy road and not getting the ringing out answered, I gave up. So, back to my emails.

It cost me £31 to print out the previous documents. However, thanks to Cheshire Police deliberately organising that I would be remanded for administrative reasons, my benefits were stopped, all of my freezer food wasted, my bike stolen and lost benefits and reduced to £73/week as a 'new' application - so, I cannot afford to print any more documents.

CM.4. This is the skeleton argument for the application by the defendant.

CM.5. The previous applications are not replaced or superceded, but are treated as supplementary information and evidence. The current application acts as a skeleton argument and summary.

All applications from all cases are evidence of a trail of extensive abuse of process in these cases, and are attached in electronic form as an index for reference and future legal action.

What would God do ?

How do you get proportionality when all of these criminals have caused such dreadful torture and abuses of children and their dad for years ?

How do you get proportionality when all of these criminals have not only betrayed the institutions employing them, but have brought the entire MOJ into gross disrepute ?

How do you get proportionality when all of these criminals have stolen from their employers in salary and expenses ?

How do you get proportionality when all of these criminals have infected and afflicted society and their institutions with evil ?

If it was up to me, I would remove all proceeds of crime from them, and then hang all of them on gibbets at the front of their courts with notices of the crimes they have carried out; but I am a man whose children they have all abused for years and I have suffered torture at the hands of these vile criminals

What would a real judge do ?

1. Continue the case with all evidence allowed, and no cover ups, and all crimes evidenced put forward for a real investigation of all of the crimes (there has been such a mountain of lies already by Cheshire police, that the task should be put

forward to an inquiry run by iiCSA or by the Hillsborough solicitors);
or

2. Drop the case, but only on the basis of instituting a real inquiry as above.

3. I have not the slightest doubt that the crimes I am reporting will yet again be corroborated as they were by the highly educated and capable psychiatrists (who said I was not deluded and was reporting the truth).

Brief history of this case

FACTS.

[these are real facts, tested by evidence and witnesses; not like MOJ facts, created by writing anything on a printed form with header MOJ Fact Sheet. Probation and CPS and police believe that anything they write on one of these pre printed sheets makes things factual. This childish and abusive practice is commonplace across the MOJ. I have direct evidence.]

F1. The defendant and his children have suffered extensive criminality and abuses, even what constitutes torture.

F2. The authorities reported the violence and abuse of the children and Tom Dobbie right at the start - 2006 through 2011.

F3. Cheshire police ignored policy, procedure, integrity and were wholly negligent in 2010 in responding to a domestic violence incident. The police were under pressure to convict only men, and convict by whatever skullduggery they needed.

F3. Cheshire police created a fabricated case, which CPS and magistrates followed the prejudice and skullduggery of (above). However, this was remedied in Crown Court where cheap skullduggery by CPS and a single judge was not available to promote the miscarriage of justice further.

F4. 2009. CWAC social workers, reported on Mrs Dobbie's violence being at a level necessary to remove the children if dad was not present to protect the children.

F5. 2010. After the Cheshire Police deliberate miscarriage of justice, CWAC had all previous reports hidden, changed the social workers, changed the truth to a new fiction that fitted their feminist policy of 'men are violent and bad', 'poor women are the victims' , and 'the children suffer from PAS' .

F6. 2011 Child 'Alice' raped (TS and reported by police), sexually abused (reported by 'Alice' , social services buried report) children physically and emotionally abused and terrorised v(2009 CWAC report, 2010 children reporting, 2011 children and CAFCASS reporting).

F7. 2011. Dad gets full custody of children based on CAFCASS and family court.

F8. CWAC repeatedly smear dad (lots of hard evidence and witnesses) to try and cover up their negligence, and achieve their long term objectives of creating single mum families. Lots of meetings with previous rape, sexual abuses, physical and emotional abuses covered up.

F9. After 26 (or so) family court appearances and lots of evidenced smearing by CWAC , judge Barnett covers up rape , sexual abuses, physical abuses and gives children to CWAC and mum.

Barnett is highly evidenced as corrupt to please Helen Jones.

F10. Tom Dobbie examines the appalling route of appeal offered to remedy this. As a CEO who writes strategies and policy for companies, projects and products, it is clear the system is weighted very heavily against honest people. There are thousands of documents and people across the globe reporting on the corrupt and vile family courts.

There appears to be a remedy: judge Munby states that the defendant who has suffered a miscarriage of justice can publicly report the acts in these courts, as long as the identities of the children remains hidden.

F11. Dad sets off following Munby's remedy. Websites, social media , visiting parliament, writing to MP's, networking.

F12. There is a strong learning curve. Most people are not well prepared, trained or resourced for what is a dreadfully one sided fight. Complaints are discussed from hundreds of cases, with most highly distressed, even psychologically damaged. One of my psychiatrists tells me that if it was up to the NHS Mental Health, they would close social services down immediately. The numbers of children and adults mentally damaged by their encounter with hard faced social workers is very high.

F13. CWAC are desperate to silence me , rather than remedy the problems I am reporting. Threats against people assisting me are commonplace - 'stop supporting Dobbie, or we will make sure you never see your children'amongst the many tactics CWAC use (I can produce the witnesses).

I am threatened with prison for challenging the Chief Executive of CWAC to a public debate about the criminality and abuse employed by his social workers and legals. I am threatened with prison for writing political poetry about how callous social workers are.

There are many documents of evidence showing smearing and threats by CWAC.

F14. CWAC inform Mrs Dobbie that I am reporting the crimes against the children and that she should report this to the police who will help her. She writes complaints that I am harassing her. Cheshire police, both to silence me, and to promote their feminist 'hatred of men' policy, have me arrested into remand. The charge is increased to stalking and harassment - because I am reporting crimes against my children. CPS told lies in the magistrates court to ensure I was remanded.

F15. While on remand, the prison psychiatrist thought that I must be deluded because of the horrendous criminality I am reporting against the children and me. I invite her to section me and have me tested. 4 weeks in Clatterbridge secure psychiatric ward has the doctors reporting that I am not deluded and everything I am reporting is verified by evidence and witnesses.

(this is an interesting point; it took psychiatrists 4 weeks to work out that all I was reporting is true. It has taken 8 years of Cheshire police, CPS, judiciary to desperately keep criminally abusing me and criminally abusing my children. All invites to Cheshire police to sit at a table and go over the evidence have been refused. Cheshire police simply write back saying, 'we don't believe you'. So, highly intelligent psychiatrists take 4 weeks, while 2 gcse PC plods keep criminal for 8 years).

F16. To try and ensure they got a guilty verdict, Cheshire police arrested me into sleepless overnight custody without medications on the night before the 4 day trial at Chester Crown. Thus, I am greatly distressed, isolated from friends and supporters, and presented in the worst possible light to the jury.

They took me back to Altcourse each night to keep me disturbed at extreme anxiety with my ICD10:F43 , no meds, no sleep, isolation.

When I told judge Woodward at that trial what was going on, he chastised me saying I was wrong. The following days appearance I produced a letter from the prison nurses who stated clearly what I was reporting. Judge appologised to the jury but not to me.

F17. With only limited evidence allowed for the defendant, we showed serious child abuses and other serious crimes had taken place, just as I was reporting. How CPS had ignored all the evidence of serious crimes was deliberate wilful non disclosure.

F17.1. I was acquitted, because I was reporting crimes; crimes evidenced to the judge and CPS.

Immediately after the jury had left, the criminal CPS asked judge Woodward to make an injunction.

F17.2. Now,
CPS had just heard about the abuses of the children
- by hard evidence and witnesses,
and here he was,
not only asking for an unlawful process to get the injunction,
but an injunction -
- to keep the children in abuse,
- to allow the child abusers continuing to abuse
- to stop the reporting of the children's abuses.

F17.3. How utterly vile, malevolent and evil are these acts by CPS.

F17.4. Judge Woodward even told me not to write or report the child abuses and other crimes to him after the trial. It begs the question - should that CPS, and judge Woodward be hanged by the neck outside of the Crown Court ?

F18. Now that they had failed to falsely convict Tom Dobbie, the cronies in authority tried another route. The cronies are the top executives of Cheshire West and Chester Council, Cheshire Police, Chester CPS and judiciary. There are strong links to freemasons and lots of evidenced corruption, but we will stick to the Dobbie case.

F19. CWAC criminals stole from the public purse to pay for a private prosecution through the Queens Bench to gag Dobbie. Dutton's mistress Vanessa Whiting stuck her neck out as one of the criminals.

F20. This Queens Bench case involved judge Pearce having to recuse himself after telling Tom Dobbie in public proceedings that a penis forced into a child's mouth and into contact with her vagina was not a sexualised event. He also wilfully avoided the hard evidence and witnesses on the assaults of the children (as evidenced in police and CWAC's own documents that they tried to hide).

F21. Having failed through the Queen's Bench, CWAC informed and advised Mrs Dobbie to make police complaints of harassment again by Tom Dobbie. Cheshire police having failed to gag Dobbie in Crown Court, now malevolently brought about charges of malicious communications against Dobbie - because he was reporting the truth about Cheshire police officers who knew about the child abuses, and were acting criminally to cover them up.

F22. To improve their chances of a conviction, Cheshire police ensured Dobbie was arrested into remand. They came at night, turned off his electricity, broke down the door without giving Dobbie any necessity to open the door to them.

F23. With Dobbie in remand, Cheshire police burgled Dobbie's flat and stole a tablet and laptop computers. (plenty of evidence of this).

F21. With Dobbie in prison, the defence solicitor and barrister acted fully independent of Dobbie's written and signed instructions. These two acted criminally to misrepresent Dobbie and make a claim for a guilty plea. It is evidenced in hardcopy that there never was an unequivocal guilty plea. This matter was put before the criminal 'judge' Dutton, who's mistress wanted Dobbie convicted to cover up her crimes and CWAC's crimes. As expected, a pitiful Dutton refused the evidenced equivocal plea.

F22. With CWAC getting the boot in, Cheshire police were encouraged to increase the criminality stakes and they created a CBO making it a crime for Dobbie to report crimes by Cheshire Police.

F23. Even a half wit would smell the criminality when executives of the state make it a crime to report crimes by them. This is the sort of stuff the state uses to smear and slander those it wants to be considered as rogue states like North Korea. However, the criminal judges of Chester were so far up to their knuckles in the proverbial, they ploughed ahead with the criminal acts.

F24. These criminals had put Dobbie into a special position -

F24.1. A witness to extensive vile criminality of the executives.

F24.2. A man deemed criminal by statute, if he does not report crimes.

F24.3. A man deemed criminal by a vile CBO, if he does report crimes.

F24.4. A man deemed a bad dad, if he does not report the crimes.

F25. Dobbie reports this all to Attorney General, iiCSA, home secretary, Lord Chief Justice, Lord Chancellor, Director of CPS, and acting Chief constable of Cheshire police.

F26. Cheshire police arrest and charge Dobbie as having no reasonable cause to make such a report. Released on unconditional bail to prepare for magistrates trial.

F27. Dobbie reports all this to Attorney General.

F28. Cheshire police arrest and charge Dobbie as having no reasonable cause to make such a report. Cheshire police ensure Dobbie is remanded for 30 days to Altcourse by forcing him to Crewe Magistrates and not Chester Magistrates. This forces the case to the Crown and causes more torture of Dobbie and loss of benefits.

F29. Chester Magistrates case declares trial Ultra Vires and puts on hold.

F30. Chester Crown suggests dropping case, as this would suit the executive better and keep evidence of the executive criminality under wraps.

F31. However, all of the crimes of the executive are valid reasons why the communications to higher authorities are required. So, all of these crimes need to be witnessed by a jury to ensure if or not a crime has been committed because it is reasonable to report crimes (nay, necessary to report crimes).

Case

Additional to all of the above, the previous skeleton arguments are below, to show that there is reasonable cause for Tom Dobbie reporting crimes by Cheshire Police to the Attorney General.

1. Skeleton argument for reporting non disclosures.

1.1. I am inherently reporting crimes; the actions of reporting these crimes to the Attorney General in line with the [Attorney General's guidelines](#) to do so.

1.1.1. I am also reporting crimes in the extensive abuses of process by police, cps and court staff.

1.1.2. Many of these crimes have been enabled, caused, propagated and carried out by Cheshire police and Chester CPS.

1.2. These matters are of necessity being reported to the Attorney General, the Home Secretary, the Lord Chancellor, the Lord Chief Justice, the Director of CPS due to the interference of Cheshire Police and Chester CPS acting criminally in order to stop these crimes being reported and dealt with lawfully.

1.3. The second arrest of Tom Dobbie in April 2018 by Cheshire police. Was because Tom Dobbie was communicating more details to the Attorney General showing the interference to the course of justice by Cheshire police and CPS. So, Cheshire Police acted to yet again to stop the exposure of their crimes.

1.4. In both arrests and interrogations (these were interrogations more than interviews. Listen to the interview, the police woman was very nasty in her behaviour and attitude to the defendant) , the wrong CBO document was used in the arrest and interrogation.

1.5. In both arrests and interrogations, there was blatant non disclosure of the evidence of child abuses that was evidenced in the emails.

1.6. In both arrests and interrogations, the witness evidence by Graham Dean was not disclosed, even though it was part of the Cheshire police evidence. He is a witness who Cheshire Police previously deemed his evidence so serious, that they interviewed him on video. Currently there are complaints against Cheshire Police as

to why the interview and video evidence by Graham Dean has been covered up and vanished.

1.7. Cheshire police burgled Tom Dobbie's flat and stole computers. This was done in order to hide evidence against Cheshire police crimes. There are two crime numbers for this burglary. Cheshire police have been investigating themselves in this burglary for over a year.

1.8. Cheshire police are in contempt of court from the Crown Court case in 2017. They were ordered by the judge to return computers to assist Tom Dobbie remove some web content. The computer equipment stolen by Cheshire police has still not been returned.

1.9. See diagram '[Non Disclosures History 1](#)' for a summary overview of unlawful non disclosures.

2. Skeleton argument for abuse of process.

(As defined by the Attorney General : "using that process for a purpose or in a way significantly different from its ordinary and proper use" : Attorney General v Barker [2000] 1 F.L.R. 759).

2.1. Extensive history of multiple non disclosures by Cheshire police and CPS.

2.2. This case is a result of the cascading historical non disclosures.

2.3. The unconscionable behaviour by the executive in multiple acts involving torture and many other breaches of human rights.

2.4. The unconscionable behaviour by the executive in allowing cascading crimes against the Dobbie children and their dad.

2.5. The defence of 'reasonable excuse' is applied across all of the crimes reported here in the manner of joint and several.

3. Skeleton arguments for reporting crimes.

3.1. Additionally, it would have been reasonable cause to communicate with the Attorney General based on:-

3.2. all of the reasons stated above in 1 through 1.8.

3.3. all of the reasons stated in the summary history of non disclosures.

3.4. all of the crimes described in summary that have been carried out and criminally hidden by Cheshire police and CPS.

- including - child rape, child sexual abuses, child battering, torture, assaults, harassment, theft, destruction of property.

3.5. having asked Cheshire police to investigate their own police force, they are evidenced as behaving criminally and reatedly acting in a conspiracy to cover up these crimes.

3.5.1. The defendent calls his witnesses to show serious crimes were carried out.

3.5.2. The defendent calls the various police, CWAC and others to show they knew about these crimes and acted criminally to cover them up with the others in this conspiracy.

Witnesses

Now moved to [Witnesses Page](#) (to stop ambiguity and errors)

Non Disclosures Summary

Now moved to [Non Disclosures](#) page (to stop ambiguity and errors)

Logical note

The defendant identifies that it is up to the prosecution to prove beyond reasonable doubt, that the defendant is guilty.

In this case, that amounts to the prosecution to prove beyond reasonable doubt, that there was no reasonable cause for the defendant to report crimes by Cheshire Police to the Attorney General.

This is logically impossible, and the defendant asks the prosecution to demonstrate the logic of the prosecution case in a proven mathematical (logic) manner.

Appendix: Application for abuse of process.

This was heard on 26th June, with the judge then deciding there was no abuse of process (I did not agree with him, but he has the bigger stick and lots of uniformed muscle).

Then, the identical charges were declared ultra vires by the judge in the magistrates version of this case. So, I keep this abuse of process application here as an appendix for future events.

App1. I am applying for the charges to be quashed based on multiple irregularities that follow the descriptions of abuse of process as stated in CPR and stated within CPS rules and procedures. The layout here, contains the essential contents of reporting as per CPS requirements.

A1.01. All of this, while noting that it is acknowledged in Attorney General v. Barker (2000) 1 FLR 759, that there is no definition, (there was not then and still is not now), of exactly what constitutes an abuse of the court's process. It is not defined in any rule or practice direction. It has been explained within the Civil Procedure Rules as "using that process for a purpose or in a way significantly different from its ordinary and proper use."

Given that it is not the proper use of the courts to have allowed and assisted in the crimes and abuses against Dobbie, his children and the Crown, both previously and now, then there is clear abuse of process on an extraordinary scale that demands a significant response in order to be proportional.

App1.1. I am inherently reporting crimes; the actions of reporting these crimes to the Attorney General in line with the Attorney General's guidelines to do so.

App1.1.1. I am also reporting crimes in the extensive abuses of process by police, cps and court staff.

App1.1.2. Many of these crimes have been enabled, caused, propagated and carried out by Cheshire police and Chester CPS.

App1.2. These matters are of necessity being reported to the Attorney General, the Home Secretary, the Lord Chancellor, the Lord Chief Justice, the Director of CPS due to the interference of Cheshire Police and Chester CPS acting criminally in order to stop these crimes being reported and dealt with lawfully.

App1.3. The second arrest of Tom Dobbie in April 2018 by Cheshire police. Was because Tom Dobbie was communicating more details to the Attorney General showing the interference to the course of justice by Cheshire police and CPS. So, Cheshire Police acted to yet again to stop the exposure of their crimes.

App1.4. In both arrests and interrogations (these were interrogations more than interviews. Listen to the interview, the police woman was very nasty in her behaviour and attitude to the defendant) , the wrong CBO document was used in the arrest and interrogation.

App1.5. In both arrests and interrogations, there was blatant non disclosure of the evidence of child abuses that was evidenced in the emails.

App1.6. In both arrests and interrogations, the witness evidence by Graham Dean was not disclosed, even though it was part of the Cheshire police evidence. He is a witness who Cheshire Police previously deemed his evidence so serious, that they interviewed him on video. Currently there are complaints against Cheshire Police as to why the interview and video evidence by Graham Dean has been covered up and vanished.

App1.7. Cheshire police burgled Tom Dobbie's flat and stole computers. This was done in order to hide evidence against Cheshire police crimes. There are two crime numbers for this burglary. Cheshire police have been investigating themselves in this burglary for over a year.

App1.8. Cheshire police are in contempt of court from the Crown Court case in 2017. They were ordered by the judge to return computers to assist Tom Dobbie remove some web content. The computer equipment stolen by Cheshire police has still not been returned.

App1.9. See diagram '[Non Disclosures History 1](#)' for a summary overview of unlawful non disclosures.

End of page ' **2018 Crown Court application** '.